## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

PRISON INDUSTRY BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25, 2014

GREEN VALLEY TRAINING CENTER

995 FOLSOM LAKE CROSSING

FOLSOM, CALIFORNIA

Chichial

REPORTED BY:

ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ CSR NO. 1564

1	ATTENDEES
2	BOARD MEMBERS:
3	JEFFREY A. BEARD, CHAIR
4	DARSHAN SINGH, VICE CHAIR
5	ERIC ALEGRIA
6	ESTEBAN ALMANZA
7	WILLIAM DAVIDSON
8	CURTIS KELLY
9	KIRA MASTELLER
10	MICHELE STEEB
11	RAY TRUJILLO
12	JEANNE WOODFORD
13	STAFF:
14	CHARLES L. PATTILLO, EXECUTIVE OFFICER
15	SCOTT WALKER
16	MICHELE KANE
17	RUSTY BECHTOLD
18	GARY BUSH
19	RAYMOND MEEK
20	PHYLLIS GUARE
21	COUNSEL:
22	JEFF SLY
23	GUEST SPEAKER:
24	NONE
25	00

## 1 FOLSOM, CALIFORNIA 2 WEDNESDAY, JUNE 25, 2014, 10:20 A.M. 3 ---000---4 CHAIR BEARD: Good morning, everybody. 5 Sorry I was a little late. Try next time not to do 6 that. My morning just got off to a funny start 7 today. 8 So if you'd all check your cell phones if you 9 haven't. Make sure they are turned off. We will go 10 ahead and get started. It's 10:20 I'm going to 11 call this meeting of the Prison Industry Authority 12 to order. And it is being held in a publicly 13 notified, noticed location. And I'm going to begin 14 by asking the Board secretary to call the roll. 15 MS. GUARE: Good morning, everybody. 16 Chair Beard. 17 CHAIR BEARD: Here. 18 MS. GUARE: Vice Chair Singh. 19 MEMBER SINGH: Here. 20 MS. GUARE: Member Alegria. 21 MEMBER ALEGRIA: Here. 22 MS. GUARE: Member Almanza. 23 MEMBER ALMANZA: Here. 24 MS. GUARE: Member Davidson. 25 MEMBER DAVIDSON: Here.

```
MS. GUARE:
                         Member Kelly.
 1
 2
             MEMBER KELLY:
                            Here.
 3
             MS. GUARE: Member Masteller.
             MEMBER MASTELLER: Here.
 4
 5
             MS. GUARE: Member Saito.
          Absent.
 6
 7
          Member Steeb.
 8
             MEMBER STEEB: Yes.
 9
             MS. GUARE: Member Trujillo.
10
             MEMBER TRUJILLO: Good morning.
11
   here.
12
             MS. GUARE: Good morning.
13
          Member Woodford.
             MEMBER WOODFORD:
                                I am here.
14
15
             MS. GUARE: Chair Beard, we have a quorum.
16
             CHAIR BEARD: Let the record show we have a
17
   quorum.
             I want to welcome everybody here today.
    Pleased to be here. We don't have a lot of these
18
19
   meetings, but the ones we do have I think are
20
    important, and I appreciate all the work that staff
21
    does putting these meetings together. Appreciate
22
   the time that the Board takes to be here.
                                                I know
23
    that all of you have other things that you could be
24
    doing. But it is important that we be here and have
25
    an opportunity to help, I guess, advise Prison
```

Industries and move them forward as they need to do an awful lot of positive things for the California Department of Corrections.

This June meeting is the meeting when the Board adopts the annual plan for the Fiscal Year 2014-2015 and considers the Prison Industry's annual budget and what to expect in the upcoming fiscal year. It's also the meeting where we recognize some of the outstanding employees for Prison Industries as well.

So at this time I would like to invite any Board Member who has any comment they would like to make.

Okay. Hearing none, I would like to ask the General Manager for his comments.

MR. PATTILLO: Morning, Mr. Secretary and Members. My name is Chuck Pattillo. I'm the General Manager of Prison Industry Authority and the Executive Officer of Prison Industry Board. We do have a court reporter today, so when I am speaking remind me to slow down. I am told I speak too fast.

With me today is Assistant General Manager of Operations, Scott Walker, as you all know. The Secretary mentioned this is significant for two reasons, for the Board Meeting. One is the passage

of the annual plan or budget presentation of the annual plan. The second one is the recognition of staff. And while they are both significant, the second one is probably the most important thing we do every year, is recognizing the staff. I think it's all work. This is not a five-man show. This is a 720-person show right now. Along with approximately 6,600 added offenders this year.

The staff that are recognized today are voted on by their peers. In addition to those folks that we're going to recognize, I want to recognize the person, the gentleman to my left here. He was recognized this year by a vote of 49 other states as the staff member of the year for the National Correctional Institute Association for the entire United States. So, Scott Walker. He received that award when we were in New Orleans for the annual conference.

I also want to thank the Board Members. Every one of you I spoke with several times in the last quarter, whether it's to answer a question, ask a question or go out on tours. I had some really good tours this last go-around. Ms. Woodford and I toured a couple locations in San Francisco that are serving PIA offenders when they get out. Ms.

Masteller and I, along with the Secretary, were in Ventura for a very good graduation.

Curtis Kelly's group has been facilitating meetings with us for some of our labor unions that do some of our installation of our modular furniture.

I especially want to thank Member Almanza who would like me to forget his private line, I think sometimes. I call him so many times on DGS issues.

Mr. Singh, as usual, any time we need something, we can call Mr. Singh and get some advice very quickly. I appreciate your help, Mr. Singh.

The other members, I know I didn't hit everybody. Bill, ask I a lot of questions of you. And Eric was gracious enough to do his briefing in a parked car last night. I appreciate that. As always, Ms. Steeb, I'm glad to see you here. Thank you very much.

I think that I covered our all my Board Members. Mr. Saito is not here. He had a board meeting that was running at the same time.

As we go through here, this is the annual plan. So my notes and comments are, basically, covered in the annual plan. But when we're talking about what is going on with PIA, we start with what

the challenges are. You write those challenges down and figure out how to turn them into opportunities as much as possible.

The things that are going on this year that are very challenging for us, we're setting up a healthcare facilities maintenance program at all 34 locations at once. It is not too often we run into those kinds of challenges, but we are up for the challenge. And Scott will talk a little bit more about that.

We are now employing 5 percent of the entire prison population. That is a number we've been striving to reach. With the new 6,600 number of positions help, but what also helped, honestly, is the population came down a little bit. So we kind of met in the middle.

The other issues that are coming up for us is the reconfiguring of our IEP Program. As you know, we've run a HR shop for offenders. Basically, we take their application. We figure out what their skills are, and then we push them out the door. Say bye to them and say, "Go forth and do no more sins and go get a job." What's happening now is the reentry facility that we have now, we have 13 hubs. So not many people are actually paroling anymore

directly from PIA. They're actually going to three hubs where they're doing their final time in. So we're having to reconfigure our IEP programs where we're able to touch them after they leave versus touching them and not speaking to them again for a couple of months, and then they parole.

So we're reconfiguring our whole program right now. And to be one that more integrates with EDD and work force investment boards out there. So we can direct these folks out to existing resources that are already there, rather than trying to reinvent the wheel.

We may ask some of the operations committee members, when we do meet, it's an all day session.

A couple folks would have to meet with staff to talk about this.

One of things I'm very looking forward to is CDCR education just appointed a new superintendent, Brant Choate. And in the back here is Shannon Swain, Deputy Superintendent from CDCR. Brant Choate, I've been familiar with him for ten years.

Mr. Trujillo, you're familiar with him also when he was deputy of Charles Jones Skill Center. He also was L.A. Superintendent of Education. It's been a great partnership. What we looked at the

other day, we brought him out here the other day.

We have what is called TIE programs where we're able

-- let me give you an example.

Let's say we have a metal fabrication plant. We have a welding shop right next to that. Those folks are being trained in welding before they even get to it. We demonstrate this here at Folsom. We have GED. We have a welding shop right there, right next door to our fabrication. It's a great model. Dr. Choate saw it the other day. It looks like we'll be able to push a few more of those out.

Before changes in the vocational education the last couple years, we had eye glass classes right next to our factory. So this concept made sense, to get more folks through the gate.

Half-time assignments. Looking at assignments that can be served half time, especially in the substance use program. There is no reason -- if any of us had a substance abuse problem, we'd still have to work and go at night. We're looking at programs on how we can measure those two together.

Ms. Woodford took me down to San Francisco to see a couple of the programs that are out of the prison down there. The same provider is doing work inside the prisons. We are hoping to work that out.

Addition of a CFO. We finally have a CFO after two years of searching. Gary Bush is back there. Raise your hand. There is Gary Bush. Gary comes back to us. He was with us. He was at Calpers. Thirty years prior to that he spent all of his time working with KPMG in Europe where he was recruited from the first time around. So I'm glad to have that position filled. Unfortunately, on that very same day our CAO, the Secretary hired him to be the head of labor for Corrections. So we lost Devin when the Governor appointed him to the CDCR.

Things coming up. The Employers Forum. We have two Employers Forums; one in August, one in September. We did these a couple years ago around the state where we brought employers, CDCR, us and local sheriff's department and the work force, investment board, together to meet and talk about the benefits of hiring ex-offenders. What that did was one, shows these employers what the benefit was to them. It also gave us a pool of employers that were willing to hire ex-offenders. So we were able to redirect these people right to those employers, instead of them trying to find a location.

Our showroom. We are back on. I think everybody here remembers our showroom down on 18th

and X. We got bought out of our lease. In the last four years we've been in a little hovel in the back side of the receiver's building on the other side of county jail. We can see everybody that gets released out of county jail. They come to stand in front of our show room. We'll be out of there in probably about six months. Our new building will be on 19th street. We've leased about a 5,000 square foot building. We will be able to have our Board meetings there. CDCR used it extensively down It was a great benefit to all of us. OPEB continues to be an issue. Other Post-Employment Benefits. It is growing. The cash balance, if you saw, the cash balances are going to be the end of the year \$55,000,000 for other post-employment benefits. Unfortunately, when folks see the balance there, especially the Department of Finance and the Legislature, they think that cash may be used for other things. We have the CFO talking with the Secretary, working with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 Corrections, working with PERS, working with Finance 22 to figure out a way to set that money aside.

23 Because we're setting that aside for future

24 obligations, liability we have. I can't afford to

25 have that money drawn down, and we get ourselves in

an insolvent position through no fault of our own. So we are working to set that aside.

The last two things is our recidivism study.

AB 109 had an effect on lots of us as it had on everybody. If you look at our numbers right now, the number of folks who exited PIA and came back, we look really good. We look better than we've ever looked because people aren't coming back for certain reasons. They're not coming back directly to prison at all times. Some are being redirected to counties, and some we don't know where they're being redirected.

So it's a new formula for saying what exists. So is not a comparison of now versus a couple years ago. There really isn't a comparison. We have to work those numbers out. We'll probably activate a new study in about six months after we get all data from this year and doing it on the third party independent, going out to bid.

The last part is staff development training.

We have significant money put in staff development.

As you can seen from healthcare facility

maintenance, our employee base has grown to

implement that program. It's a five to one, five

inmates, five offenders versus one staff. That is

how intensive it is. Our contract with the Receiver's officer is for cleaning all medical facilities. That is driving 180 positions. So we are putting a lot of money in training.

In addition, I need to put more money for training to keep the staff that we have. Right now the secession planning we are looking at. I will submit to you that half the executive staff are eligible for retirement right now, including myself. So looking at that and looking at what is coming behind us, we've got to get more people up, trained, ready to go so there's no break, no gaps, anything like that. We will talk more about that when we get into the budget.

I'll have Scott start off with the information item on HFM. The reason we're starting off with HFM is because it's driving most of our budget. If we answer the questions here, we'll get right into the budget and get through this.

Any questions?

MS. WOODFORD: I have one question. You spoke about recidivism. Is there a new definition by the Department or by PIA as to how you're measuring it? It used to be return to prison.

Well, of course, that really isn't so accurate.

MR. PATTILLO: I think it varies according to varying aspects. I think, in general, people are speaking recidivism as returning to any type of custody where we measure it.

MEMBER WOODFORD: Are you able to track that?

MR. PATTILLO: We're working on it. Not all the tracking systems are there. CDCR has the lead on ensuring that -- making sure that they're seeing people coming back into county or coming back. I know the Secretary gets a lot of data on this. I don't think it's all consistent by county yet. We will get there.

CHAIR BEARD: The problem is that we can't track the counties because you have 58 different counties, and they don't all measure things the same way or anything else. We have three measures, not only returns. We had arrests and convictions. So arrests and convictions, I think, are still things that we can look at and still measure from before. But return to prison is a totally new ball game today. Since you're not getting any technical violators, obviously, that's going to make a big change. So it's going to take some time, I think, to flush things out. It's going to take time for

the counties to all start really collecting the stuff that they should collect. So, for a while, it's going to be very difficult to do some of that. It's beyond the arrest and conviction.

MR. PATTILLO: One of the angles that we network how have it seems that the Board appropriate that the property of the start that the start the start that the start the start that the start the start that t

actually have is something that the Board approved a couple years ago. Everyone that comes to PIA has to fill out a job application, a very extensive job application. We are able to track that data in a database. We normally call these folks to make sure they are still out. We're checking on the jobs. So we can run it that way. That's very time consuming to do that.

MS. WOODFORD: Thank you.

MR. PATTILLO: Any other questions?

Like to turn it over to Scott real quick for the first information item.

MR. WALKER: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Board Members. My name is Scott Walker. I'm the Assistant General Manager for Operations, and I will take you through the healthcare facility maintenance update.

As you may recall, we entered into a partnership with --

Tab D. Turn to Tab D.

We have entered into a partnership with CCHCS, the Receiver's Office, and CDCR to implement a healthcare facility maintenance program statewide at all 34 institutions. Initially, we were doing one contract at the bed institution at California City. So it totally there will be 35. This is the background --

MEMBER STEEB: D?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALKER: Tab D, as in dog.

This is a result of the federal court order And one of the key elements in Plata versus Brown. the court order was to bring the clinical spaces in the institutions up to standards of care that is consistent with community hospital. Really, that is the basis of this program. That is our goal. goal is not to make the prison clinical space look like a good prison clinical space. It's to make the clinical space in the prisons look like it would in a community hospital. That's going to take a lot of We're up to the challenge, as Chuck said. work. This is driving a significant cost in resources within PIA. As he mentioned, we're looking to hire probably 180 additional staff in PIA, which is a significant increase. It's a significant workload and significant scope, but we're going down that

road.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have had some hiccups with this program It's not been without issues. All of the thus far. issues we've discovered so far aren't issues that we didn't recognize were going to be there, but some of those hills are a little steeper than we thought. The big one, and continues to be the big one, is recruitment of that staff. Trying to find qualified staff, identifying those staff, get them in the process. That is a significant challenge, particularly in some of the remote areas. Here at Folsom we've had great luck. We have a flier for a position, we get 40 applications. You do that same flier in the Sierras for Jamestown, Sonora area, you don't get any. So it's really a challenge to get those folks in the door. We continue to work on it. We're working with CWIB, as Chuck mentioned earlier. We're going to the local communities. We're handing out fliers. We're doing Craigslist, monster.com. The local at home healthcare workers as Home Depot. We're also targeting them. But it is an ongoing challenge. Getting those people on board is a significant challenge.

Having said that, we're holding our own at this point. There has been some setbacks in some of

the areas. I say setbacks; it's more of an internal thing than external. You listen to the feedback from the clinical folks out there - the doctors, nurses, the custody staff. Without exception all of them believe the areas are much better than they were when we took them over. I believe there is a lot of things we have to do to resolve those things out there. We're working on those.

We've activated ten institutions so far. We have another 20 or 25 to go. So not without error, not without issue, but we've done a pretty good so far. The feedback is that we're doing a much better job than was done. We still have to raise the bar a bit.

Part of the challenge is that we are going into ten institutions where we have no presence. We've never been there before. So part of the logistics to that is introducing that institution to PIA, introducing that institution to how PIA works and those expectations. That's taking a little bit of effort. A lot more effort than we thought it would take. There is just a vacuum out there when it comes to making that communication stick with those folks. We are really working hard on that.

Michele Kane will be up here later, our Chief

of External Affairs. She's doing a video that we 1 2 plan to show to the offenders and institution staff 3 prior to our arrival. Just to give them more 4 information about the program. I don't want to sound as if they're not supportive because they are. 6 This is just new. It's different and, really, this is not a program where you cannot perform the 8 functions on a daily basis. Right. So if something 9 happens, it's not okay just to shut the program down 10 for the day and come back tomorrow. We have to do 11 this every day the same way. 12 So that's kind of a nuance out there that a 13 lot people aren't yet comfortable with. But we're 14 working with them. DAI, Department of Adult 15 Institutions, is on board. We have another statewide conference with all the boards and CEOs to 16 17 just kind of put forth some of the issues we've 18 seen, lessons learned at the first institutions, out 19 there to try to make this thing a little smoother, I 20 should say.

The contracts went back to contract, \$65,000,000 contract for a three-year period, about \$27.7 million per year. So that's good for us, but there is certainly a lot of costs, as Chuck mentioned, that are coming along with that revenue.

21

22

23

24

25

There will have to be a public hearing some time in the fall, spring of next year.

MR. PATTILLO: Yes, spring.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WALKER: Spring of next year. Our current revenue is about \$12.7 million. We plan to exceed that this next fiscal year, which will require a public hearing that we'll have to do to raise that revenue limit.

180 positions, we talked about. 900 offenders. Maybe the most important part of this thing is certainly one of the challenges we've had rolling out is the approach to offender's training. It is very intensive. And making that happen, happen regularly, is part of the nuance out there. An example would be at one of the institutions where there is no PIA program, the education staff go on They take a couple weeks off, and they shut down all the education programs. Well, our training program is behind the work gate that goes to the education program. So, normally, that officer is redirected for that period of time and, right, we can't do that because we have to continue training. There are some of the challenges out there in the institutions that haven't been addressed. Thev're working with us. We're putting the program together

so those folks have a notion of how it is going to impact them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The 900 offenders is really the key to this This is what we're here for. This is why PIA exists. There are certification programs. currently have seven external certification programs for our offenders. We are running them through. We plan to get more. We're going to seek some ISSA certifications, International Sanitation Standards Association accreditation for this program. mirrors ISSA in a lot of ways, but it validates this program meeting all the standards that are out there in the community. Along with that, we're also working with them to pilot the first ever certification for individuals.

So they currently don't do that. They don't currently have a program that certifies individuals. They have a program that certifies entities to say that they are doing it according to the processes, but they don't have certification for individuals. We're in conversations with them. Haven't got there yet. Establishing that. We'll be the first in the nation where they are actually certifying individuals. So hope that gets off the ground in the next few months. There is a lot of heavy

lifting there as well.

The last piece I will share with you is the job market, when they get out. It's increasing about 12 percent over the next several years. A significant opportunity for those folks to get out and become gainfully employed. Chuck talked about the partnership we established with DGS and Mr. Almanza about employing them in the DGS buildings when they get out. SEIU supports that as well. So it's going forward. There are no fatal flaws. A lot of heavy lifting.

The staff, PIA staff, has done a tremendous job of putting this thing together and executing in the short time we've got. An 18-month window to activate 35 enterprises. That is just a lot of work. They've done a great job doing this. We've had great support from CDCR. Have great support from CCHCS. Without that, obviously, wouldn't be successful, but we've come a long ways and we have a ways to go. But great effort so far.

I would be glad to answer any questions that you have?

MR. PATTILLO: Anything on that specific issue?

MEMBER ALEGRIA: Mr. Walker, you mentioned

the barrier in terms of the recruitment. Do you feel like that might slow the implementation of reaching out to all these institutions to the spring of next year?

MR. WALKER: That's a good question. It slowed us at Sierra not in the sense that we didn't kick Sierra off. What we had to do at Sierra was we had to start out with a smaller footprint. It impacted us there directly.

The other thing that we've done, because of some of the challenges of getting people on board, it sort of slowed down the implementation. What's happened, I think right now -- originally, we were scheduled to be done in March of 2015. We pushed that out a month to April of 2015. And part of the reason was the employment. We were getting staff on board, but we weren't getting them all on board.

So we've trained two of the five or three of the five. So what we're going to do by pushing everything out a month, we're going to take one of the training teams — we currently have three training teams out there. We're going to take one of them and go back to the institutions that have already activated and retrain the staff. To make sure they got good training on the policies so there

is consistency.

The big thing about this and the change from where it was before is just that, standards and consistencies. There were none before. There were institutions that were doing a great job. But most, as I said before, was through personal effort. You had somebody who really cared about the program doing a great job. Other ones where they weren't necessarily properly trained or had proper resources, not so much.

And so we're really trying to create a standard out there. Like I told my staff, I would rather have all 35 institutions do it wrong consistently than I would have 35 different solutions out there. At least if I know they're all doing the same, I can make a tweak and move it forward. The staff hasn't impacted the rollout. We're adjusting that a month. That is one of the things that impacted us, but it is a contingent.

MEMBER ALEGRIA: [Inaudible].

MR. WALKER: It is. It is. I got some feedback last night. We have auditing jobs. There will probably be other parts of the same recognized later on. We really have to measure our success in rolling this thing out. So part of the model, we

create auditing to go out and audit, which we're going to have external audits. For example, OIG. Have the expert panels out there looking at this, the Department of Public Health. We didn't want to get caught flat-footed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Part of cost in this as well is having auditing go out there and audit us before, so we can find our own deficiencies. So we've done three audits to date. Two are still in draft. The first one was poor. The second was worse. The third one, when we started to get our feet underneath this, when I got a phone call last night, was Calipatria, and their words not mine, it's day and night.

They feel we've finally got this in a place where it's turning corners. If we go back to the first few, when I say they're bad, that is what I mean. Those are internal documents right now. again, the information, the feedback from both those institutions, that institution has never been that clean. They've never seen it that good. What I told the CEO is that I appreciate the feedback, but from my perspective we have a long way to go. they also appreciate that fact. So a lot of hard work, a lot of challenges, but I think we're up to it. Hopefully -- I mean there's going to be some

glitches. There are issues that happen out there. We're going to able to overcome.

MEMBER ALEGRIA: Thank you.

MEMBER STEEB: Are we able to cover costs with some of these surprise visits?

MR. WALKER: That's a real good question. Chuck brought that up to me the other day when he sees the costs. I say budget is important, right. Very important. But execution is more important right now. So I still anticipate we're going to be able to cover costs. Part of it we built into the contract, was a revisit in September of this year. I figure by September we have been in this thing six or seven months. We want to sit down and talk about it. We put this model together under a lot of pressure to get it done. No excuses. We just had to do it somewhat on the fly.

So we based the whole state on a quick evaluation of five institutions. And I made that -- repeated that to everybody so everybody understands this wasn't some long drawn out comprehensive evaluation. And every physical plant out there, while there is some -- they're alike, but there are a lot of differences, a lot of different programing challenges. There's the HIPAA program, a healthcare

improvement program which changes the footprint.

Long story short, in September we're going to sit down again with the Receiver's office and talk about here's where we were then and here's where we are now. Some of the costs are going to go up. Some of the institutions will need more resources, more staff. So they get that. They also have a project director who is participating with our audits. And he's Gary Swarthout of Solano before he retired. Really good guy. Familiar with licensing. He's kind of seen some of those same things with certain institutions where they need some additional resources.

At the end of the day, when we get done, certainly I hope this thing all lines up. And we expect that it will at this point. There is a lot of costs up front to get this thing running. We will not make any money this year. That is for sure.

MEMBER KELLY: Did you do any research on outside staffing: What they get paid? What we are allowed to pay? Is that maybe one of the problems we have recruiting people?

MR. WALKER: Yes and no. We didn't do any -- obviously, you're not going to talk to people

about their jobs. Those salaries are negotiated by Cal HR. We don't have a lot of wiggle room there. A lot of the people -- I would tell you anecdotal evidence. We got people coming to us from the private sector. We have people from Kaiser. We have people coming to us from Mercy and all those. So I think the wages are comparable.

The challenge is going to be the private sector. The challenge is going to be, if you look at the stat perspective, those wages in the state system are pretty damn low. Part of the challenge is going to be when those folks get into the system, they get familiar with the system and they see other opportunities, whether it be in the warehouse or whether it be in the factory. You're going to see a churn there, going out and going to those things.

We did look at that. We don't have a lot of control over that. But I think that we're very consistent with the private sector, as far as wages.

MR. PATTILLO: Any other questions? Thanks, Scott.

So we purposefully did that with the HFM.

There is a budget there. The changes in the budget are all driven by that. So rather than having to wait to the very end to make it go quicker for us.

Start with Action Item A. This item here is an action item. The proposed budget that we're presenting is CALPIA's operational expectations for the upcoming fiscal year starting with July 1. We submit this every year before June 30th, and if necessary, sometimes - we had one year when it wasn't necessary - we will redo it again in December so we get a better idea. As you know, the big difference between us and state agencies is state agencies at the end of the year get an appropriation that is a dollar, and they manage to that. We have things that happen in that six-month period that could swing revenues one way or another.

I'll give you an example. Currently, we have revenues coming in at about \$164,000,000. We're looking more like we're going to end this year at \$190,000,000. A little bit closer. A lot of stuff happened this spring, right around December, that we just didn't anticipate that increased our revenue. We anticipate the 2014-15 budget will increase 15.6 percent or \$26.4 million of what we talked about six months ago to \$196.3 million. It's primarily an increase of about 159 percent or \$18.8 million in construction services and facilities maintenance, which is wherein the healthcare facilities program

lies, as stated in 35 locations.

The other increases are 4.0 percent or \$2.1 million in manufacturing, which the top contributors are furniture, bindery, and cleaning products, which, as you can imagine, with us taking over HMF we're actually using our chemicals also. So that is driving that by about 13 percent.

Services, as we talked about, the construction service. Also optical, which is good news, has increased 23.8 percent for another \$2.5 million. Some of you that were around for a couple years remember when our optical program was double in revenues and was also four locations. But when they took the optical benefit off of Medi-Cal, that's when it just kind of fell off. Healthy Families and a few other things are coming along. My goal is eventually, and I know Scott winces when I say this, is to open another optical factory.

What is very disappointing for us is when we had to do the switch with females in our optical factory to the males because at that time we had two factories, male and female, and we were training both forces. We don't have female positions for that right now, so we would like to get back to that eventually.

Okay with that.

MR. WALKER: I'm not opposed to opening another one. I want to make sure we have revenue to support it.

MR. PATTILLO: Food and beverage. We predict about a 7.2 percent, \$1.5 million, based on current trending. I think in about another 18 months we'll have to have a public hearing on that.

Agricultural. We're actually projecting a decrease of about 3.2 percent \$0.8 million. The top three contributors are the dairy farms is projecting a decrease of about \$0.9 million, based on trending and population and also price. And poultry, a decrease of 5.4 percent or \$300,000. Agricultural crops are offset by a projected increase in crops, 40.4 percent, and egg production increase of 3.4 percent.

As you go through your binders here you are going to see two enterprises that are showing red at the gross profit level. One is modular construction, and the other being crops. The first one, modular construction, right now we don't have business in modular construction, except for our own stuff that we're doing. So we're reporting it as cost of goods sold with zero revenue. The things

that we've built in last two years have been a new business services building. Some of you are going to stay around today, and you're going to see the business services building that we installed down there. The new emergency operations center. We have a new classroom that will be the last building that we put up here that will be for training offenders. Space is a premium. If we can't find it, we've got to build it. We are building eight internally.

The second part of that was the crops. The reason crops is showing in the red is because none of the profits off of almonds are being shown in the that crop farm. Those almonds now, instead of -- you may recall several years ago we used to ship those overseas, everything else. We now package them all up, and they end up in our boxed lunches. So the profit on the almonds is recognized over in food and packaging. It's mostly an accounting issue. Nothing that should require to shut something down or raise prices.

Cost of goods sold. It is estimated an increase of 14.5 percent. Consistent with our increase in sales.

The gross profit. We project 18.8 percent

increase, about \$7.9 million in gross profit from mid-year revise, from about \$41.8 million to \$49.6 million. That's all based on the addition of facility maintenance.

When we get into selling and administration expenses -- we are now on this page out here where it says annual plan. And the next item coming down here, starting with the top of the list, Prison Industry Board, all the way down, we break it up by line item.

Overall, the fiscal services we -- central office costs are projected to go up by about \$2.3 million. It's not all, per se, personnel. Some overhead costs that we will break out here in a second. In fiscal services bureau we filled certain positions that were mid-year and are now full year positions. The addition of the Chief Financial Officer and the expansion of the 13 additional institutions. So we had to have some accounting staff to pick up 13 additional institutions.

Marketing. We project an increase of about \$400,000 over all. Most of that is associated with resources and actual lease of the downtown facility, which should be open, we project, a little bit after October.

Business services. We expect expenditures of 35 percent increase to the point -- when I say 35 percent increase, \$300,000. And most of that has to do with our Student Assistant Program. We do a very active Student Assistant Program out here. The reason being it's part of our recruiting. We have such a hard time recruiting folks. We work with Sac State.

Every one of our divisions has at least one student assistant. Right when they graduate, they're eligible to take a test that allows them to come on to state employment. We can get them right in the door, and they're already trained. Just like they were here the entire time. We get that engineering, marketing and business service and especially MIS. That's the hardest place. We have several student assistants down there working.

Our Offenders Development Program. And that is several items down here. The Inmate Employmentability Program, which we're working to reconfigure, will increase about 70 percent or \$700,000. That is increasing resource centers at every facility where resources meeting based on soft skilled, cognitive behavior, thinking, or some of the testing we do, and also some of the resource

directives. They can access it out of the facility, right out of the facility. Some of these guys are just doing PIA. They're not doing anything else. We want to make sure they get the full breadth of service.

MEMBER STEEB: Is this where they would come for employment placement services? They would show them like -- they get into a different place than last year?

MR. PATTILLO: Essentially, before. This is actually before they go out. That is -- we talked a little bit about that. That is the -- we're trying to stack the deck, is what we are trying to do. We know folks aren't going to parole with PIA. We're trying to get soft skills that go with our work environment to them in the factory. Finding as many resources. Making sure they have filled out transition packets. So when they do get out, we can follow them, get information to them.

MEMBER STEEB: So the year that we don't touch them, they can't access those services here?

MR. PATTILLO: They can. We have a lot -we actually have a lot, right, to tell us here. I
work for you for X number of years, and I'm getting
ready to go here. It causes us a little more paper

work on our end. We'll get that figured out. We are working with CDCR. I think an unintended consequence of the blueprint and the reentry hubs. Nobody kind of saw the way that they're coming out of PIA, and they're not going back. So it's a little hard to track. Now that we've got what you all asked, the whole employment application going through the whole process there. This is a better database. We have a very active database in that effect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CTE programs. We will invest \$ 2.6 million funds to fully fund 12 CTE programs, \$2.2 million, of which we'll be reimbursed by CDCR in the coming I appreciate the Secretary working with up year. us. CTE is something we do under Penal Code 2805. It is vocational education. Prior to 2006, we didn't do vocational education. But as many of you were here on the Board at the time and knew - Ms. Woodford was actually the Undersecretary and the Director before that - knew what was driving this at the time when resources were non-existent for the rest of the CDCR. We were able to put some money aside to establish these programs that were specifically union tied. So we had these things up and running.

We had union instructors coming in for the apprenticeship program. Mr. Trujillo helped out on the trade side and Mr. Kelly on the carpentry side. We're still continuing to do that. There have been some rub in the past between us and now that the Division of Education got their programs all up and running, that we have their representation, not the Department's but the representation saying, "Wait a minute. Only CDCR instructors teach vocational education." And now the law allows us to do this, and we have this very specialized type of training. Our guys are out there. And some of you know them by name. Terry, Roy, and a few other folks are out there running are union employers. They're also a job steward. They are the guys that actually calls the employer. "I've a guy here who is paroling next week. You ought to come and get him." They literally pick him up at the door. So kind of a different model.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I appreciate the Secretary helping us out getting another year of funding. But, as we've discussed, we need an alternative source of funding. And I think the best way for us is to deal with finance is in the Legislature with the Secretary's support and Board support because the funding is a

no-brainer for us. It needs to be done. Come closer to CDCR, especially on our construction programs, when the folks, like we did here at the facility, when the ladies that go through the Folsom carpentry and iron workers or the laborers, they automatically go to IWL. IWL has a trained work force when they start a job versus training wasted. I hate to say wasted. Wasting six or eight weeks getting someone up to speed before they put them on a job. So that's what we're looking at. You will see today where we are also with the CAD program, which we'll take a look at this afternoon.

The programs we're funding out of that are the MTTC, the dive program, two labor programs. One at Folsom here and the other at San Quentin. We are in the process of recovering 18,000 square feet of education space. That will literally double the space at San Quentin they have now for education. We need one more labor team to go in there, do the lead and asbestos abatement. We're paying for that through here and setting up a class room that will double the space at San Quentin. Something that just wasn't in the cards for them at this time.

The ironworker program at Folsom, carpentry at Folsom, computer coding at San Quentin is a one-year

program that we're working on to get it up and running in conjunction with the Department of Corrections. So after the one year the program will revert to CDCR's sponsorship. We're getting it up and standing.

Female program. We have laborers at Folsom Women's facility, and we will have the carpentry program at California Institution for Women. The good part about that, as Mr. Kelly knows, we've had a formal labor agreement. Look's like we're in the process of getting a formal labor agreement with the Carpenters of Southern California. Something that we've been chasing for seven years.

Carpentry at Folsom Women's Facility. AutoCAD right here in the parking lot. Facility maintenance, we are -- the Folsom Women's Facility, we grew a facilities maintenance program down here. We maintain the entire facility at the CTE program. That is what we are funding through here.

Then the other things we're looking at right now is an assisted technology in optical at four of our two optical factories. Standing those programs up next to our optical factories and handing them off eventually to CDCR.

Any questions on that part before I move on?

Just to mention transportation. We project expenditures to increase about 7.8 percent, and that's more because of the volume. We had a good conversation with the Secretary a couple days ago. We're doing something different than they're doing in Pennsylvania, which is we're moving back to more civil service employment of truck drivers because it is now cheaper. Several years ago it was the other way around. Just like it was in Pennsylvania when It's cheaper for us to the Secretary was there. hire our own folks instead of using common carrier. We can project those. We can manage them better and brings prices down across the board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State mandated costs. We now have the offender program state mandated costs. Those are, we like to use the word, unavoidable costs imposed on CALPIA, various state costs that are expected to increase 31.8 percent or \$3.7 million, pro rata, which is the price we pay to have the Legislature, the Treasurer and the Controller -- all agencies pay it even though we don't technically use them all. Is expected to go up from \$4.3 million to \$5,000,000 this, year based on an estimate that we got from the Department of Finance.

We are paying \$5,000,000 just right off the

top. They get that first cut. Right after that OPEB, which we talked about, will reach \$10,000,000 this year. That is the other post-employment benefit, retirement benefit, in the future that all statement agencies must put aside. We're the only ones that -- less than five other agencies that we talked of are the ones that fund that cost. That is part of the cash balance that is driving, going up and up. We need to set that money aside because we just can't afford Finance or the Legislature coming in and thinking, wow, that's free game. Next we know, we're insolvent.

Position changes. Operating income is projected to increase 98.8 percent from \$0.3 million in the mid year to \$0.6 million. Non-operating revenue, which is just basically just legal settlements, non-legal settlements, unrecognized revenue, off normal revenue, is proposed to increase from 100k to about \$0.3 million, \$300,000. We do not anticipate any extraordinary expenses in this area.

Our net gain overall, bottom line, \$400,000 net profit this year, \$400,000. That's coming up from our negative \$13,000,000 that we're discussing in the current year. We think that's actually, that

\$13,000,000, could be reduced a little bit less, 1 2 probably staying as of the end of the year. 3 never now until September when we close out and we do the financial audit. As you know, we're 5 financially audited every year, which not all 6 agencies do you that. 7 With that, if you go to Tab A. Any questions? 8 Mr. Singh. 9 MEMBER SINGH: I have a question about this 10 construction job. Where are the construction jobs 11 going to be? 12 MR. PATTILLO: The construction --13 MEMBER SINGH: 39.8 percent. 14 MR. PATTILLO: That is statewide, Mr. 15 That is backup to -- it is called 16 construction services and facility maintenance. 17 this case, it is facility maintenance, and that is what Scott was discussing. That's the statewide 18 19 So 35 locations. We are growing that. 20 an average of about a minimum of five new positions 21 at every institution. Also, within that there is an 22 average of about 8 positions, that is offender 23 appointed positions, at every location, also. MR. TRUJILLO: 24 I have a question. Going 25 back to crops. How many acres of almonds do we

1 have? 2 MR. WALKER: 700. About 300 on one side 3 and 400 or so on the other side. Just under 800. 4 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Do we ever look at 5 putting any more in? 6 MR. WALKER: Not at the moment. One of the 7 issues right now is the drought. We just put in 150 8 acres last year or earlier this year. 9 MR. TRUJILLO: Of almonds? MR. WALKER: Yes, of almonds. The reason 10 11 for that was we tore out the other crops. 12 alfalfa there. Alfalfa takes a lot more water than 13 almonds, and the market for almonds is going up. 14 We planted 150 acres down there six months ago. 15 MEMBER TRUJILLO: The reason I was asking 16 the question is that they seem, in the Central 17 Valley, to be putting more almond orchards in in 18 addition to the crops 19 MR. WALKER: It's like last we planted a 20 bunch of almonds. The price will tank and we'll 21 tear them all. 22 MR. PATTILLO: We purposely did that as 23 part of the water issue because alfalfa takes so 24 much water out there. In the budget this year we do 25 have three separate plans for additional crops at

```
three different locations. At DVI we're looking at
 1
 2
   olives and grapes. At CTF we're looking at grapes
   at that area out there. And Corcoran we're looking
 3
 4
   at -- down in the valley it was more row crops like
 5
   almonds. We're looking to plant more, but right now
   with the water situation, it would be to take out
 6
 7
   what takes the most water and let's put in what
8
   takes the least water crop that we can get in there.
   Rather than let the land sit fallow. Because as the
10
   Secretary knows, down in the valley the one thing is
11
   we also want to keep them happy. We don't want the
12
   dirt stirred up everywhere down there.
13
   looking for ways to keep dust down because of the
   implication of dust in the valley. The problems
14
15
   that that causes.
16
             MR. TRUJILLO: Thank you.
17
             MEMBER KELLY: Where do we get the water
18
   from to water crops?
19
             MR. PATTILLO:
                            Wells.
20
             MR. KELLY: Well water's dropping.
21
   study on that.
22
             MR. WALKER: We can't use effluent water on
23
   that.
          So we have to have wells.
24
             MR. PATTILLO: On the offenders employment,
25
   the tab on that, offender assignment by enterprises
```

on Page 3. And the budget anticipates we'll have an average of 6,696 budgeted offender positions. I will submit that our -- in the last three years our assignments have gone up by about 21 percent. That is where we are supposed to be. That is, of course, we've added a lot of assignments that came from CSFM. That's what we're here for, to increase that assignment number.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Scott's going to talk about that on lost hours. Unfortunately, on an average day we have on any given day an average of at least 508 open positions that we can't fill. The reason for that is that we're all in competition now for the same inmates out there. Vocational education, education and programs and substance abuse, they're funded. They really want to get those butts on the seats, as they say. And so we're not saying we're getting leftovers. But it's a competition is what it is. When we get into his half-time assignment thing, it is a great way to go. I'm glad both the education folks side and the program side are saying that sounds reasonable to get this done. The idea to keep folks as busy as possible, constantly, while incarcerated.

The proposed the budget is usually that

number, 6-6-9-6, which is an increase of 10 percent 1 from just six months ago, which primarily is 2 attributable to the healthcare facilities 3 4 maintenance. And the only thing that actually went 5 down was food and beverage decreased by 22 6 assignments, and that just has to do with consolidation. We're doing some movement. 7 Any question on offenders employment? 8 9 Civil service employment. The budget says 820. The actual fill will probably be about 770. 10 11 not the highest this agency has ever been. Before I 12 was here, there was a lot more folks here. 13 is significant. But we are very cautious as you 14 know about hiring folks. 15 Give you an example. When I started here there was a General Manager, a Chief Assistant 16 17 General Manager. There was an Executive Officer and 18 a few other folks. We don't have that anymore. have -- it's kind of a -- we kind've flattened out 19 the organization that way. 20 Given that said, we are adding one position, 21 22 in the Executive Office, effective yesterday. 23 Phyllis Guare is now Special Assistant and managing 24 central office. The objective, all -- everything

We meet with Phyllis every day.

25

that goes on there.

We really know who runs the operations. We will actually be backfilling the special assistant position, and there will be a new administrative person in there, and that's something we haven't had in multiple years.

So I want to say, thank you, Phyllis, for taking that job on.

The overall -- the facility maintenance position, the actual positions added from last year, total 151; and 139 are really attached to facilities maintenance. That number will come down as we get this thing rolled out. We won't need transition team folks. We know there is not going to be any layoffs because there will be attrition. We have folks that are attritioning out of this organization. Whether they're getting appointed, promoted, retiring, we have a very -- age rate in PIA is actually higher than most agencies, the average age. We'll see that.

Any questions on that piece?

I have one more section to do.

MEMBER KELLY: I have a question. When you took the revenue loss for crops, you said it was over in food and packaging. Did we take those positions and move them over? I see the food and

beverage went down and the crops stayed the same.

MR. PATTILLO: That was just dollar losses that we were talking about, not position losses. But we are talking crops had a dollar loss and food and package had a position loss.

MR. WALKER: At crops, we actual diminished some positions out there. Not this year. Prior. Just because the offender population is so small, we didn't have -- there wasn't enough down there to have that many supervisors. Crops, we diminished the staff down there to a level just to manage what we've got. Not growing that.

In food and beverage, that thing has gone through the roof. We added six positions in food and packaging or should be. I don't know if that's reflected. That thing is still growing.

MR. PATTILLO: Our conversation started out with a dollar loss. That is what we are talking about. Then I shifted too fast into position loss.

Sorry. I think I confused you.

MEMBER KELLY: Okay.

MR. PATTILLO: I will come back there,

23 | Curtis.

Out of state travel. We are budgeting approximately \$51,000 in total for the entire

1 organization for out of state travel. And what that 2 includes is staff of all our divisions going to other CIs in the states throughout the U.S. 3 4 travel to states such as Colorado, Texas, some of 5 the lower states. We try to do it all together. 6 will give you an example. In Texas it will take me 7 -- they have how many locations? 8 MR. WALKER: A lot. 9 MR. PATTILLO: A lot. To see five 10 locations will take me four days. And so it's -that's the kind of travel we're doing right now. 11 Just seeing what is out there. We're not proud. 12 13 We're out there to steal other people's ideas. 14 That's the main reason for traveling out there. And then, of course, the four folks that go 15 16 down to National Correctional Institution Association. I did mention Scott winning an award. 17 18 There was also four other staff that were recognized 19 nationally this year. 20 Any questions so far on that item? 21 And with that, that is the end of that piece of 22 Tab A. 23 CHAIR BEARD: Any to other questions or comments from the Board? 24 25 Is there anybody from the public here?

Apparently not. So there is no public comment. So I would entertain a motion to approve the action item.

MEMBER SINGH: I move that.

MR. TRUJILLO: I second.

CHAIR BEARD: All in favor.

Opposed.

Okay. The motion carries.

MR. PATTILLO: Second item, designation of cash for Fiscal Year, is something we do in regards to -- you just approved the budget, the cash. We're implementing a lot of the plan, our capital expenditures. This year we're proposing in the current year to have total capital expenditures of \$14.0 million. Our cash position is projected to be -- at the end of the year to increase by 5.8 percent or \$3.9 million over the current mid-year. We should be ending the year at \$63.2 million.

This capital plan, which is B2 of you binder here. B2 is a capital schedule, and it lists every single project at 35 locations that we're doing. The overall is \$11.7 million for field enterprises and approximately \$10,000,000 is for equipment upgrades and replacements.

As I was briefing a couple Members, they

recall when years ago we used to set up operations, especially bond-funded operations in Corrections, there would always be money for PIA to buy equipment. That is no longer the case. Because where we had appropriations, we haven't had a bond in a lot of years. We're now buying replacement schedules, getting quicker and quicker at wearing stuff out. A big thing is laundry. Buying new dryers and washers. \$1.4 million capitalization of livestock. That is transferred in and out of livestock. We are still running two dairies.

How many cows? About 3,000 cattle at two different locations. Don't ask me to name them all. In that, 300,000 is for miscellaneous improvements and repairs out in the field.

Also, what we're doing this year is we're trying to finish off a camera operation system.

Maybe you've gone out to the facilities. I know the Secretary's been to a couple of them. We're putting cameras in almost all of our facilities. It's a safety issue for us. It's a safety issue for the offenders. The best part is as each one of them are set up, they're interfaced so local investigative services unit can tap into our cameras and observe our factories at anytime. Because if an incident

occurs in our factory, which happens from time to time, we've got it on film. We know exactly what happened. We know who the perpetrators are, and we go back to work the next day. Short investigation time. It's a good investment for us.

MR. WALKER: Yesterday, for example, at Mule Creek an inmate in the laundry fell down.

Normally, when somebody falls down, he's hurt significantly, there's a huge investigation right then trying to figure out what happened. Usually we lose our inmates for a few days until that is all sorted out. They had it on camera. The guy had a medical condition. Nobody involved. We went right back to work with no interruption.

MR. PATTILLO: It is a great investment. We do a lot of institutions that don't have their own camera facility or camera abilities. We do a lot of work for facilities setting theirs up, also.

In central office, we have about \$2.3 million in investments here. The biggest one is adding a classroom to this facility right now. As you walk around, what you're going to see is one of the programs we kicked our engineers out of and put the offenders in there to start a CAD program. As soon as we are done there, somebody else is going to get

that, and they're going to want to get a new classroom. That will occur. That is \$600,000.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The central office building is security improvements. If anybody came in, did you see that wild-looking bridge coming out at the bottom here? That's the bike trail. So the public is starting to encroach on this Folsom facility. So we're having to add more cameras, more security gates, various security improvements just because of the fact that we have folks that are coming so close to the facility. Plus, we've never run as many offenders up here. We're running 84 offender slots that are up here, and I think we're slotted for up to 112. So we're running two correctional officers up here at all times. There are some definite security improvements that are going on.

Almost, just under, a half million dollars for showroom tenant improvements this year. Part of the deal of our building, we have a long-term lease, we're responsible for tenant improvements. The lease cost was lower. We're planning on doing that with an offender crew partially here. And then working with the contractor to do the complete remodel down on 19th Street.

Central office \*\* is gone now. We are looking

to get off the grid for central office, finally. Our parking lot, as you guys will see today, we'll be done with a building that we're finishing down there. Our parking lot will be uprooted, and we'll turn that into a solar field parking lot for folks to parks underneath the solar panels. Lower some of I'm not sure what our monthly electrical our costs. is right now, but I understand it will pay for itself in about two years. It's pretty significant. Then there is equipment upgrades, including digital equipment purchase. We've got so much paper work; we're trying to digitize all the time, so we just capitalize on digital equipment, where we're having offenders digitize and set up our paperwork, basically. We can put our thumb drive instead of in onyx boxes like we have been doing. With that I am asking for approval of \$13.9 million for designation of cash for capital

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

expenditures for ongoing operations.

CHAIR BEARD: Any questions from the Board? None.

Public? There is no public members here, so I'll entertain a motion for approval.

> MEMBER MASTELLER: So moved.

MEMBER WOODFORD: Second. CHAIR BEARD: All in favor.

Opposed.

Hearing none, the motion carries.

MR. PATTILLO: Thank you, Members.

With that, I'm going to shift over to Attorney Jeff Sly. I know there's a lot of numbers on that. Actually, see amend regulations Title 15 and all the numbers. What this comes to, I'm just going to refresh your memories, this is probably the ninth time we've done one of these. In 2005, when they did reorganization of CDCR, when PIA became its own entity, one of the things that happened is all the regulations that are behind that had to be set up.

So I'm going to turn it over to Jeff Sly. He can explain what we're doing here.

MR. SLY: Jeff Sly, General Counsel for Prison Industry Authority. Action Item C. Tab C in your binders consist of 23 proposed regulations, which constitute personnel regs for CALPIA. Instead of just talking about what I have, I'll just mention that some of you probably recognize some of these, having seen them before.

We actually started this project back in 2012. After the Board approved our package that we put together in a December 2012 Board meeting, both

myself and my policy and regs manager were off the first of half of the 2013 with extended medical situations. When I came back to work, she retired. This project kind of went into pause for a little bit. I added a new staff member, a policy and regs analyst, Dawn Eger, who is here today.

We went back in and started looking at these and had some conversations with OAL and decided maybe, because there had been some time in passing, it would be a good time to simplify a little bit and shorten them up, make them a little less complicated. So that's what we did. Basically, we almost started the project over. And in discussing with OAL and General Manager Charles Pattillo, we all decided that probably it would be best to, given the amount of time that passed, to just rewrite them, resubmit them to the Board for approval and move forward with that.

Having said that, personnel regs. The reason that we need these and the reason that we're doing it is, as we started doing our own regs and establishing our own regulations, the Department of Corrections came to us and said, "While you guys are doing this, we would like you to do some personnel regs that, as closely as possible, resemble the

Title 15 personnel regs that CDCR has." Their regs are located in Section 3390 to about Section 3418, I believe, in Unit 3 of Title 15.

So we set out to do very a similar situation, put our regs together so it is clear that PIA employees working in the institution are following, basically, the same rules as the regulations set forth for the CDCR employees. To that end we actually include one regulation which basically says, "while you're a PIA employee, to include contractors and noncivil service staff that we have, when you are on institution grounds, you're actually not only subject to the proposed regulations that we have here today for your approval, but also to the Title 15 sections, the personnel sections that CDCR has."

So what we're asking today is that you again re-approve our personnel regs and we will submit them to OAL. Before the meeting started, I gave you a three-page document with a little tab on top that says "Action Item C." Really hoping that this isn't going to confuse you. But part of what we're doing is creating a record that is going to be part of our rulemaking file for the Office of Administrative Law. They want to make sure that it is very clearly

spelled out when you guys give us your approval that you have approved the actual languages that we're ultimately going to go with.

2.4

We put our initial regs, the 23 that are in your binders set forth in Tab C1, out for the 45-day public notice period that ended on June 9th. During that period and while this office didn't receive too many comments from the public, we actually received some comments this time that resulted in three changes that Dawn and myself discussed with General Manager. We all decided we should make these changes. They were good ideas.

If you look at the three pages I gave you, I actually highlighted the three changes in yellow. I did that for the purpose of this discussion, to make it real easy for you. The first change is on Page 3, which is the first page there, are actual changes that the General Manager wanted following some of the reorganization we talked about, adding the Chief Financial Officer.

These changes are all minor, but we did go out with the 15-day renotice. We went out with that on June 10th and the 15-day notice actually ends today, this afternoon. What I was hoping to do is get you to also approve this language with the language we

submitted earlier so we don't have to do this again. We can get it all done.

If you look at Page 8, the second page, we just added a reference to Section 8112 under Subdivision (a). That is for clarification purposes. It dawned on us that both 8111 and 8112 really refer to the definition that we set forth in Subdivision (a). So for clarity we just wanted that, that addition right there, to be in this.

And then on the third page, Page 10, this was part of our statement of incompatible activities regulation, which is 8113. These suggestions actually came from one of the business representatives at the Association for California State Supervisor, ACSS. For some reason when Dawn and I rewrote this and put this together, we overlooked the idea that it would be nice to have some kind of a deadline for both the General Manager and the General Counsel to issue their decisions when it regards somebody requesting authorization or permission to have an activity that might somehow affect their state employment.

So we thought those were all good changes. We rewrote that and wrote that language in there. That is made part of what went out for 15-day renotice

right now.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that in mind, what we are asking today is that you approve, as we requested in Action Item C, the proposed personnel regs. I would also ask that you specifically indicate that you approve that with the three pages, with the highlighted language in these three pages that I gave you at the beginning of the meeting. Essentially, if you do that, when this meeting is over, I'm going to insert these three pages and submit them for your pages of Item C in one of our official binders for this meeting, reflecting the ultimate language that you guys approved. And with that and the record we have now created, the Office of Administrative Law will be satisfied that we now have all the language included that was out for renotice after this meeting today, and I don't anticipate there will be any changes further. We haven't received any comment up to this point. We made the changes for the comments we received during our 45-day period. But I think we're really good to go.

With that, are there any questions that anybody has?

MEMBER MASTELLER: On your action item on Page 3, under impact on CALPIA, the last sentence

there says: Future consequences may include civil actions filed against CALPIA and the PIB regarding policies determined to be underground regulations.

So my understanding of that sentence is that, if we didn't pass this and we didn't publish the

So my understanding of that sentence is that, if we didn't pass this and we didn't publish the regulations, then we would be looking to have these underground regulations and we could potentially have a problem?

MR. SLY: Yes, that is correct.

MEMBER MASTELLER: Essentially, we are eliminating that problem?

MR. SLY: Yes.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: You have under 8111 light duty. Under (a), about the fifth paragraph, I believe, for work restrictions or illness not to perform functions for the job for a limited duration. What is a limited duration? What you are talking about?

MR. SLY: Well, there is actually two parts to this. First of all, we are suggesting that anybody that can't perform their duties, we're looking at a 60-day period to determine whether or not they can continue to function. So rather than make it a lifelong opportunity that somebody might want to try to rework the essential functions of

their job, we're just saying that for 60 days we'll look at light duties.

There is also another set of regulations that, I forget which, that gives the General Manager up to two years to change somebody's actual position. So, in other words, they won't be working in their own position, their own classification without modified essential functions, but might be put into a different position somewhere else doing a completely unrelated job for up to two years, to facilitate a medical or some issue that prevents them from performing their duties.

Essentially, what this is here to do is to just put a time limit on it. Can an employee perform their job or not? If they can't, we need to look at some other solution other than somebody coming to work for the rest of their life and not performing their job that they hold.

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Is that a limited duration? Is that limited to two years? Is that what you're saying?

MR. SLY: In our regulation, in our 8111; is that correct?

MEMBER TRUJILLO: Yes.

MR. SLY: Yes. We're limiting it

1 essentially to 60 days with adjusting the essential 2 functions of the duties. The other regulation is a CAL HR regulation that provides, basically, the part 3 4 where the agency secretary's authority to actually 5 move somebody to a different position and not change the essential function of their current 6 classification, but to put them somewhere else 7 8 temporarily. 9 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Thanks, counsel. 10 MEMBER SINGH: Comment. I just thought 11 that the general manager always had this authority? 12 MR. PATTILLO: Technically, Mr. Singh, you 13 are correct. I have had the authority, based on a 14 lot of other authorities out there. When we did the 15 reorganization, we actually had an underground 16 regulation challenge already once. What happened is 17 that we didn't have specific line-by-line 18 codification of what the statutory authority was. 19 So, basically, this is just our manual that we're 20 doing. I've always had the authority. 21 Could there be some challenges? Absolutely, 22 there could be. We're making sure we're airtight 23 now. 24 MR. SLY: Under Section 2809, the General 25 Manager has the ultimate authority to make all

```
1
   decisions that affect PIA personnel. The purpose of
   the regulation is you have a very general statute.
 3
   You are making a specific decision.
                                         That's where
   you run into an underground regulation problem.
 5
   by creating this regulation, you are just trying to
 6
   spell these out. Specifically what the authority he
 7
   may exercise. You may see this again in the future,
 8
   additional personnel reg modifications, changes to
 9
   these or additions. Again, under the authority of
10
   2809, to avoid underground regulations situation by
11
   making some things specific. If they come up,
12
   basically, resolutions are usually promulgated as a
13
   result of a problem that developed that didn't have
14
   a regulation in the first place.
15
             MEMBER SINGH: Thank you.
16
             MR. PATTILLO: Any other questions on this
17
   issue?
18
             MEMBER ALMANZA: We may have a conflict
19
   with CAL HR's State Personnel Board regulations.
20
             MR. PATTILLO:
                            There's actually nothing in
   here that does conflict. All consistent. Actually,
21
22
   it is consistent.
23
             MR. SLY:
                       It is consistent.
24
             MR. PATTILLO: We sent it to them for their
25
   45-day review, also. Actually, prior to this we
```

1 were in conflict, I would say. 2 MEMBER ALMANZA: Okay. 3 MR. SLY: In some circumstance we just followed their regs. 4 5 MEMBER MASTELLER: This cleans it up, closes the loopholes that could be argued if 6 7 somebody wanted to. 8 MR. PATTILLO: The only challenge we've had 9 in the last couple years was one of receiving a GED 10 requirement. We had the Board pass a GED 11 requirement. They had to have a GED. We had to 12 follow the regulations because that part was 13 challenged. 14 MR. SLY: Now it is part of our inmate 15 regulations that we brought to you in years past. 16 Okay. If there are no more CHAIR BEARD: 17 questions, I will entertain a motion to approve the 18 amended regulations, including new Article 6. 19 MEMBER TRUJILLO: Mr. Chairman, I would 20 move that we adopt. MEMBER SINGH: I second that. 21 22 CHAIR BEARD: All in favor. 23 Opposed. 24 Motion carries. 25 MR. SLY: Thank you.

1 CHAIR BEARD: Now we move on to the 2 informational items. You already did D. We are on 3 Ε. 4 MR. PATTILLO: We're going to power through 5 them. 6 MR. WALKER: If we could look for the 7 Information Item D. 8 CHAIR BEARD: E. 9 MR. WALKER: Information Item E, as in 10 It appears that we're headed in the right direction for lost hours. Available hours for the 11 12 second quarter, third quarter comparison increased 13 by 1 percent. Not much, but headed in the right 14 direction. By 3 percent. 15 Total lost hours decreased by 6 percent, which is good to see. Custody hours decreased by 10 16 17 percent. Ducat lost hours increased. That's one of 18 the areas we're still struggling with, the ducats. 19 Both went up a bit. The industry related increased. 20 I will caution you that the fourth quarter industry 21 related usually goes back up due to physical inventory. We're in the middle of that right now. 22 23 It did decrease significantly in the third quarter, 24 which is a good thing. 25 Other lost hours increased approximately 4

percent -- 14 percent, excuse me. That was primarily attributable to state holidays and inclement weather over the winter months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Vacant lost hours. Again, an area that increased by 7 percent. As Chuck mentioned earlier, the vacant lost hours, if you take the hours and convert them into positions, that is about 450 to 500 inmates programming positions that went unfilled during that quarter. Something we keep an eye on. Going to be a challenge going forward with the inmate population and with the CDCR blueprint. As Chuck mentioned earlier, we're kind of competing for that same inmate in a lot of cases. A lot of pressure to get those seats filled. It is having an impact on it. HFM impacting us. We're going to do a statewide conference call, CEOs and wardens. That's going to be one of the points, trying to get some of the positions filled in HFM.

Any questions on the lost hours?

If I could get you to turn to Tab F, which is accredited certifications. They also have increased over the second to third quarter by about 242 accredited certifications. We continue to add new certifications. The other thing we continue to do to pursue is partnering with CDCR to create

milestone accreditations for those certifications, which means the offender can get anywhere from two weeks to six weeks off their sentence. We are in the process now for accredited certification programs.

We also increased closures. We still have some issue of inmates being transferred out before they're closed. It seems to be diminishing. We're doing a better job making sure they complete the program before they're transferred out.

Any questions?

MEMBER STEEB: I have a curiosity question. Are two to six weeks enough motivation or incentive?

MR. WALKER: It is. You would be surprised how much of a motivation that is. They keep us honest. It doesn't seem like much, but when they're going to go home a couple or six weeks early, that's the first questions out of their mouth: Does the certification have milestone credit? That's the first thing out of their mouth. It really is a good thing for us. It keeps pressure on our staff to make sure that, A, we keep them in the process. Because in the process you get them accredited and milestone certified, and you get them into the

1 program. They drive that thing. It doesn't sound 2 like much, but it's huge. It's absolutely huge. 3 Any other questions? 4 Let's try Tab G. I suspect it's next. 5 certifications. Board Member Steeb, this is near 6 and dear to your heart. 7 We have approximately 484 offender assigned. 8 Of that 3,382 possess a GED or high school diploma. 9 194 are enrolled in a GED program, and 911 are 10 either enrolled or have a degree. 11 This is certainly something we are pushing on. 12 It says here we're pursuing the online solution. 13 All the GED testing is going through an electronic 14 format. I was talking to Shane, a deputy 15 superintendent for CDCR. They're very close. 16 They're attempting to roll theirs out July 1st. 17 We're going to piggyback on that. Also, a lot of the certification programs, in a sense a lot of 18 19 those are electronic. We're going to start 20 piggybacking on their solutions. The one we have, American Board of Optometry, we can use that online 21 22 process to certify them. That is going to be a great thing that CDCR is rolling out, hopefully, the 23 24 1st of July. 25 Any questions on GED?

The next item, if you turn to Tab E, which is the employee award presentations. H, I'm sorry. Employee awards tab.

MR. PATTILLO: Board Members, each year we do select several staff employee of the year, field employee of the year, central office and then we also do a correctional officer of the year. Someone who works for CALPIA enterprises. There is an additional item that is not here on this. This is the Warden of the Year. We present that at the wardens meeting that is coming up momentarily.

I'm going to start with the folks that aren't here. Desiree Monarrez is the employee of the year in the field. Desiree is an office assistant at California Institution for Men. And she basically is the state civil service staff that is inside the dive facility. She runs all the certifications. I think you've all met her when you've gone down there. Unfortunately, she could not be here today.

The employee supervisor of the year that's not here today is Paul Wittenmeier. He is an industrial supervisor at Pelican Bay State Prison. I'm actually driving to Pelican Bay Sunday night so I can be there Monday morning to present it to him at

the executive meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The folks that are here today, and I'm going to start with the employee of the year in central office, Lisa Horrell. Lisa, if you can come up, I will read this. Hold that. Lisa is kind of - I'm off script here - kind of runs business services. If you want a record, a contract wouldn't get done, and likely she's trained a lot more staff to come in. But she is a contract analyst for the business services unit. Lisa is always professional, courteous, and knowledgeable contract analyst. She demonstrates exemplary customer service to all seeking help. As a veteran contract analyst, she trains the newer staff and also takes the initiative to help her fellow coworkers.

And then the supervisor of the year is out of the central office. I shouldn't need to read this off. He's a guy we affectionally call "budget boy," Gary Alarid is here. And Gary Alarid is chief of the budget unit and he is probably the youngest chief we have. And Gary demonstrates dedication of hard work which compliments his calm and pleasant demeanor, even though his hair is standing on end and on fire. Under pressure as he completes budget projects with strict deadlines and accommodation of

high ethical standards and courteous manner enable him to be an excellent mentor to other employees. He's the right hand guy; he's the guy that does the budget work. So everything you've got there is from Gary Alarid.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last individual - and at the tail end of this, Mr. Secretary, we're going to take three photos with our folks before you step out - is correctional officer of the year. And believe it or not, I'm not the one who nominated you. But you are one of my favorites. Travis Townsy. And I met Travis probably about eight years ago when I lived on the grounds, and he is correctional officer at Folsom State Prison. Come on up here. He has displayed a level of professionalism which sets the standard in assignment of safety and security at He keeps excellent rapport with CALPIA Folsom. staff members, is well respected by offender population for his direct and fair treatment. Everyone of you that's gone through Folsom has seen Officer Townsy. He is always the one smiling and saying, "How you doing?" and helps me out with everything else. He epitomizes what the interaction between PIA and corrections should be at the ground level.

1 MR. TRUJILLO: Mr. Pattillo, if I could 2 interject. I see he has a couple daughters here. 3 Could they come up and get a picture? MR. PATTILLO: That's not his. 4 That's Gary's daughter back there. But sitting next to 5 6 Sheila Howell. She's flattered, though. 7 We're going to go through one more item. Then 8 take some quick pictures with the Secretary. With 9 that, I'm going to turn it over to Michele Kane 10 really quick. I hope you've all met Michele. 11 MS. KANE: I've only been on microphones 12 for about 20 years. I will keep this brief. 13 Good morning, I'm Michele Kane, Chief of 14 External Affairs for California Prison Industry 15 Authority. CALPIA has had exceptional media 16 coverage just in the last few months. I have been 17 making you all aware of that. 18 Of course, we had the launch of our computer 19 aided design program up here at Green Valley 20 Training Center, which you will be able to tour 21 today. We had all the networks there - CBS, FOX, 22 ABC. You name it; they were there. We got great 23 press coverage. Also, we have had our youthful offenders in our CTE construction labor program. 24 We

had the graduation at the Ventura Youth Facility.

25

We had Secretary Beard there and a lot of the Board Members, plus the media. A lot of staff. It was a wonderful event. Made front page of the Ventura County Star with pictures. So that was positive.

Also, our healthcare facilities state maintenance program at CMF. That was just highlighted a couple weeks ago in the Vacaville Reporter. Also in the Vallejo Times Herald, which was wonderful, along with the optical lab that made a story, a wonderful story. We're working with Solano out there. They have been very helpful.

I'm working on a couple videos. Scott mentioned the HFM recruiting video will hopefully help. I'm working on a CALPIA overview video, which I am going to be very proud of because it is going to show offenders on the outside and success stories through CALPIA, as well as CDCR. So I don't think people see what we do here on the inside and the effects on the outside. There is some wonderful success stories. Grab a Kleenex when you watch the video.

A few events. Two employees that Chuck mentioned. We have one here in Sacramento. Very excited at Cal Chamber August 26. Mark it on your calendar. We hope you can all attend. The next one

1 will be down in L.A., and that is September 26. are keeping it consistent, August 26 and September 3 26. And that is going to be at the Los Angeles Trade Technical College. They refer to it as L.A. 5 Tech. And that is September 26. I am going to send 6 out more information to all of you so you are very 7 aware of it. Also, CALPIA is planning a graduation of all 8 9 of our CTE female offenders graduating at Folsom Women's Facility. That date is September 9th. 10 So 11 mark that on your calendar. I hope to see you all there. And I'm just tickled and thrilled to be 12 13 here. 14 Thank you. 15 MEMBER STEEB: The Cal Chamber? 16 MS. KANE: From 8:30 to 10:30 in the 17 morning, and we will have breakfast, and it's going 18 to be a very nice event. 19 8:30 to 10:00 at L.A. Tech down in Los 20 Angeles. 21 MR. PATTILLO: The L.A. Trade Tech is 22 actually sponsored by former Board Member Larry 23 Franks. He is now the president of the L.A. 24 Technical College. What they committed to is establishing a work force investment center for PIA 25

and CDCR offenders to come right there. Because working with offenders, obviously, is a specialized case.

I want to mention that Michele did a video for us before when she was with CDCR before she was in the Senate. Actually, that video won the State Information Council's award. There's a couple of videos that she actually produced a couple years ago. So we're expecting good things out of her very quickly.

MEMBER KELLY: More awards.

MEMBER MASTELLER: Are they still making fire retardant uniforms for fireman?

MR. PATTILLO: Yes, they are.

MEMBER MASTELLER: There is movie coming out about fire jumpers. It is a cartoon. I would imagine you can tie CALPIA to that movie as well. Just a thought.

MS. KANE: A good thought.

MEMBER MASTELLER: It is all about the super firefighters and all of the various crews that make forest fires.

MR. PATTILLO: I hate to say that, unfortunately, that product is on fire this year. We are selling a ton of it out there. We are the only

ones that have the NFPA certification in the United 1 2 States for that. 3 MEMBER MASTELLER: Seems likes an 4 I've seen quite a few documentaries on opportunity. 5 it recently that had popped up, and it was because 6 of the whatever the movie is. 7 MR. PATTILLO: That is a cartoon movie. 8 Thanks. 9 With that, that concludes. At the end of this 10 I'm going to have the Secretary take pictures with 11 the award winners in the back. We have lunch for 12 you all here, and we have a tour that starts at 13 12:00, assembled. I know the Secretary is not going 14 The reason is because he did the exact same on it. tour less than a week ago. I was hoping maybe he 15 16 can do the tour. 17 Does that work for you? 18 CHAIR BEARD: Sure. 19 MR. PATTILLO: We have lunch in the back. 20 And can I answer any question before? 21 MR. TRUJILLO: I would close this meeting 22 in honor of Jim Steeb who had a medical issue and 23 he's home, I understand, doing good. I would like 24 to close this meeting in his honor. 25 CHAIR BEARD: So there is nobody from the

```
public here. I think we learned that earlier. So
 2
    I'll make a motion to adjourn.
 3
              MEMBER KELLY: So moved.
 4
              CHAIR BEARD: A second.
 5
              MR. TRUJILLO: Second.
              CHAIR BEARD: We are adjourned.
 6
 7
           (Public meeting adjourned at 11:47 a.m.)
 8
                           ---000---
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	
4	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
5	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO ) ss.
6	
7	-
8	I, ESTHER F. SCHWARTZ, certify that I was the
9	official Court Reporter for the proceedings named
10	herein, and that as such reporter, I reported in
11	verbatim shorthand writing those proceedings;
12	That I thereafter caused my shorthand writing
13	to be reduced to printed format, and the pages
14	numbered 3 through 79 herein constitute a complete,
15	true and correct record of the proceedings.
16	
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed this
18	certificate at Sacramento, California, on this 31
19	day of October, 2014.
20	
21	a de la companya de
22	ah
23	ESTHER F SCHWARTZ
24	ESTHER F SCHWARTZ CSR NO. 1564
25	V
ŀ	